

No

IN THE  
**CIRCUIT COURT**  
UNION COUNTY, OREGON

**EQUITY**

*Mrs M G Werner*

Plaintiff

*Chas Werner*

Defendant

Docket

*AD*

Page

*11*

*A E Dixon*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

BUSHONG & CO PRINTERS & STATIONERS  
PAKERS ARK S  
M L R RE N

636

Denied

In re county road  
petitioned for by  
John Blokland et al

# PETITION FOR COUNTY ROAD.



TO THE HONORABLE COUNTY COURT, FOR UNION COUNTY, OREGON:

The undersigned freeholders, of Union county, Oregon, residing in the road district where the hereinafter described road is proposed to be, respectfully petition your Honorable body to lay out, ~~alter~~ and establish

a County Road <sup>50 feet wide</sup> within said county, on the following line, or route, to-wit:

Commencing at the NE corner of section 10 T2SR38E11N and running thence East  $\frac{3}{4}$  of a mile to intersect the present County road at the SE corner of the NW  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the E  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec 11 T2SR38E11N, and there terminate.

And to vacate the following described portion of the present County road to-wit: Commencing at the SE corner of NW  $\frac{1}{4}$  of E  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec 11 T2SR38E11N, thence South  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile, thence West  $\frac{3}{4}$  of a mile, to the intersection of the County road on the Western line between Sections 14 and 15 in said township.

And in duty bound your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

NAMES:

NAMES:

John Blokl and  
Herrmann. Gehrs  
John Speckhart  
W. W. Seal

Wm McDonald  
P. A. McDonald  
J. G. Leik

W. W. Burns  
John Shorn  
H. E. Canfield

J. S. Perry  
J. D. Black  
James Amundt

Robert Leak  
J. A. Leak  
H. S. Hendricks  
J. R. Beddes  
J. C. Mitchell

Jones Co Road  
petitioned for by  
John Blackland et al

Filed Nov 1 - 1911  
Ed Wainwright Co Clerk

STATE OF OREGON,

SS.

County of Union,

I, Hermann Vehrs, being first duly sworn, say that I posted

three several notices, of which the notice hereunto attached is a true and correct copy, in three public places in said county in the vicinity of the proposed road therein described, to-wit: One

at the eastern terminus of said proposed road to wit at the NE Cor. of Sec 4 of T4 of R11, Top 2, S. R. 38 E. 11. and another such notice at the Western terminus of said proposed road to wit at the NE Cor. of Sec. 10 Top 2, S. R. 38 E. 11, and one at John Shew's Corner

Thirty days previous to the presentation of the road petition therein mentioned, to-wit: On the

30<sup>th</sup> day of September 1901, and that thereafter and thirty days

previous to the presentation of said road petition therein mentioned, to-wit: On the 30<sup>th</sup>

day of September 1901, I posted a true and correct copy of said notice at the

place of holding Court for Union County, Oregon, to-wit:

On the front of the Court House door, in the City of La Grande, in the said County and State, and that said notices remained posted thirty days previous to the presentation of said petition as I verily believe

Hermann Vehrs

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15<sup>th</sup> day of November 1901

John P. Ross  
Notary Public for Oregon

# ..Notice of Road Petition..

TO ALL PERSONS CONCERNED: You and each and all of you, will take notice that the undersigned freeholders, of Union County, Oregon, residing in the road district where the hereinafter described road is proposed to be, will at the next session of the County Court for Union county, Oregon, to-wit: on Wednesday, the First day of November A. D., 1901, respectfully present to said court a petition praying said court to lay out, ~~alter~~ and establish a County Road <sup>50 feet wide</sup> within said county, on the following line, or route, to-wit:

~~Commencing at the S.E. corner of section 10, T.2 S.R.38 E.W.M., and running thence East 3/4 of a mile to intersect the present county road at the S.E. corner of the S.W. 1/4 of S.E. 1/4 of section 11, T.2.S.R.38 E.W.M. and there terminate.~~

~~And to vacate the following described portion of the present county road, to-wit: Commencing at the S.E. corner of the S.W. 1/4 of the S.E. 1/4 of section 11 T.2 S.R.38 E.W.M., thence south 1/2 of a mile, thence west 3/4 of a mile to the intersection of the county road on the section line between sections 14 and 15 in said township.~~

Dated at \_\_\_\_\_ Oregon, the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

A. D., 190.....

NAMES:

NAMES:

John Blokkand  
J. Heermann, Vebbs  
Jim Speckhart  
J. A. W. W. W.  
D. M. Donald  
P. A. McDonald  
E. J. Leik  
G. W. Evans  
W. W. Barney  
John Shaw  
H. E. Campbell  
J. S. Perry  
J. D. Black  
James Ainsworth  
Robert Leak  
G. A. Leak  
H. S. Hendricks  
J. R. Reddy  
J. S. Mitchell

Road petitioned for by  
John Blockland  
et al

Filed Nov 1 - 1911

Ed. Wright  
Co Clerk

TO THE HONORABLE COUNTY COURT

UNION COUNTY, STATE OF OREGON.

We, the undersigned do hereby remonstrate against the granting of the road petitioned for by, John Blockland, Hermann Verhs, John Speckhart, D. McDonald, P.A. McDonald, J.L. Feek, G.W. Evans, W.W. Barney, John Shaw, H.A. Canfield, J.S. Perry, J.D. Black, James Ainsworth, Robert Leak, J.A. Leak, H.S. Hendricks, J.R. Beddes and J.S. Mitchell, for the reasons that there is already a well established county road that serves the needs of the above petitioners, that all of the petitioners are not residents of the road district, in which the said proposed road is situated, to-wit, John Blockland, P.A. McDonald and D. McDonald. That petitioners John Blockland by the establishment of the said proposed road, would gain thirty feet of land and John Speckhart would gain thirty feet of land for the distance of his farm, so that the said road is inspired for purposes of gain, rather than a public benefit. That the damage to the undersigned lands, would be far greater than any benefit that could accrue to the petitioners (other than Blockland and Speckhart) or the public in general.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Leak

Almira Knapp  
Christina Standley  
J. H. Standley  
Mrs. Katie Parker  
E. L. Whiting  
Thomas M. Larson  
Wm. Westenshaw  
James Ainsworth +  
W. W. Barney +  
J. L. Leak +

M. M. Feik  
G. W. Evans +  
J. R. Beddes +  
Joseph Leak +  
E. D. Black +  
J. S. Perry +  
H. S. Hendricks  
E. L. Whiting  
John O. W.  
Mrs. J. C. Terry



~~John Shaw~~  
John Shaw

Ed in wood

W C Miller

A. J. Treik.

Board put down  
for by John  
Blackland et al

Remembrance

Nov 2001 1911

Ed. Wright & Co.

BE IT REMEMBERED, That at a regular term of the County Court of the State of Oregon, for the County of Union, begun and held at the Court House in the City of La Grande, in said County and State, on Wednesday, the 1st day of November, A. D., 1901, the same being the first Wednesday of said month and the time fixed by law for holding a regular term of said Court, when were present:

The Honorable J. C. Henry, County Judge,  
C.A. Galloway  
~~BEN BROWN~~ Commissioner,

~~J. M. SELDER, Commissioner~~

ED WRIGHT, Clerk,

F. P. CHILDERS, Sheriff.

WHEN, on Thursday, the 2nd day of November, A. D., 1901, or the 2nd Judicial Day of said term, among others the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

In the matter of the proposed county road petitioned for by John Blokland et al.

This matter came on to be heard at this time on the petition of John Blokland et al for the establishment of a county road upon the following lines: Commencing at the S.E. corner of Sec. 10, Tp. 2 S. R. 38, and running thence east  $\frac{3}{4}$  miles to the intersection of the present county road at the S.E. corner of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec. 11, Tp. 2 S. R. 38 and there terminating, and to vacate the following described portion of the present county road: Commencing at the SE corner of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec. 11, Tp. 2 S. R. 38 E. thence south  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile, thence west  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile, to the intersection of the county road on the section line between Sections 14 and 15 in said township. And it appearing to the court that a remonstrance has been filed against the establishment of said proposed road and said vacation of a county road, and that the signers of the remonstrance outnumber the signers on the petition to a considerable extent,

It is therefore CONSIDERED and ORDERED that said petition be and the same is hereby denied.

(Signed) J.C. Henry, County Judge,

C.A. Galloway, Commissioner.

SECOND JUDICIAL DAY.

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 2nd, 1911.

In the matter of the Resignation of Hans Endrup as Supervisor of Road District No. 15.

Now at this time is presented to the Court the resignation of Hans Endrup as Supervisor of Road District No. 15. It is therefore ORDERED that said resignation be accepted and the sureties upon his undertaking be released and exonerated from further liability as such.

In the Matter of the petition of R.H. Bonewitz for protection of County road.

Now at this time it is ORDERED that this matter be and the same is hereby continued for the term.

In the matter of the petition of C. A. Murry et al for an order restraining Grande Ronde Meat Co. from rebuilding packing house.

Now at this time it is ORDERED that this matter be, and the same is hereby continued for the term.

In the matter of the proposed County Road petitioned for by John Blokland et al.

This matter came on to be heard at this time on the petition of John Blokland et al for the establishment of a county road upon the following lines: Commencing at the S.E. corner of Sec. 10, Tp. 2 S. R. 38, and running thence east  $\frac{3}{4}$  miles to the intersection of the present county road at the S.E. corner of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec. 11, Tp. 2 S. R. 38 and there terminating, and to vacate the following described portion of the present county road: Commencing at the SE corner of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec. 11, Tp. 2 S. R. 38 E., thence south  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile, thence west  $\frac{3}{4}$  mile to the intersection of the county road on the section line between Sections 14 and 15 in said township. And it appearing to the court that a remonstrance has been filed against the establishment of said proposed road and said vacation of a county road, and that the signers of the remonstrance outnumber the signers on the petition to a considerable extent,

It is therefore CONSIDERED and ORDERED that said petition be and the same is hereby denied.

In the Matter of the proposed County road petitioned for by Joseph Wright et al.

This matter came to be heard at this time on the report of the Board of County Road Viewers, said report being in words following, to-wit:

636

637