

Board of Commissioners Meeting
December 10, 2014

Present: Commissioner Steve McClure
Commissioner Mark D. Davidson
Commissioner William D. Rosholt

Chairman McClure opened the meeting at 9:00 a.m. with all three Commissioners present.

Public Comments

Margaret Mead, 57744 Foothill Road, La Grande, read a statement that is attached to these minutes.

Denise Elizabeth Stone, 305 Scorpio Dr., La Grande, read a statement that is attached to these minutes.

Mayor Bill Lindsley 1297 S. 3rd, Union, explained that he has been trying to find out about a few things for a better part of five years. The Buffalo Peak Golf Course seems that the only way it can become more efficient is to increase play. He has been discussing this with Commissioner Rosholt for over a year to see if they couldn't get it up or work with the City of Union's Chamber of Commerce. He hopes in the next year that there is more effort in trying to get the play up. He doesn't expect it to break even per say but at least cut down the deficit that it runs in. He hopes that there is more effort on the level of play at Buffalo Peak Golf Course. It will also help the businesses in the City of Union. The other issue he wants to discuss has to do with UCEDC. He wonders if there is a way that UCEDC can be more transparent and show the funds that come in to UCEDC through an annual report. The City of Union dropped out of UCEDC because they didn't feel UCEDC was bringing people to Union to see the industrial lands they have. He addressed this issue with Dan Stark from UCEDC. He was also wondering if there is a way that the County Commissioners feel that approximately . He explained that where he has come from the wages were predicated on a number of hours a week that was put in. Because there is a family wage in this County of approximately \$12 an hour. To be able to figure out how much the County pays the Commissioners per hour with fringe benefits and see how that translates over to the family wage at \$12 an hour as an average family wage. He asked Commissioner Davidson if the family wage is still around \$12 an hour. Commissioner Davidson explained that he feels that is the low end of the scale. Commissioner Davidson explained that it would depend on if they are working full time and year round. He would confirm that with Worksource Oregon's Labor Economist. Commissioner Davidson explained that if Mayor Lindsley wanted to look at UCEDC's books or where their money goes they are a private non-profit and they are required to open their books to the public. If the Mayor has made the request Commissioner Davidson is not aware of it. Mayor Lindsley stated that he has not made that request yet. Commissioner Davidson told him to feel free to make the request and if there is any information that is not forth coming he will make sure the Mayor receives it. Commissioner Davidson also explained that the one requirement that UCEDC has to list

lands on the website and Oregon Prospector is that it be actively listed for sale with a set price. They have run into problems in the past where they have promoted a piece of property and depending on the interest that is expressed in it the price goes up and down and the business prospect cannot get a straight answer. He stated that is the minimum requirements does not believe the industrial lands in the City of Union are currently listed. Bill explained that he informed Dan Stark that there was property in Union and the owners were interested in leasing. Dan never came out to look at the property when he tried to make arrangements for him to look at it. The property has 18,000 sq. ft. of industrial buildings. He had requested Dan to come out more than once to take a look and sit down with the owners to discuss. The land is zoned in heavy industrial. Commissioner Davidson stated that he would talk to Dan and have him follow up with Bill.

Irene Gilbert, 2310 Adams Ave, La Grande, stated that she believes that for every action there is a reaction and that the truth is somewhere in the middle. She stated that she and Commissioner McClure got into it at the last meeting because she asked a question about who had ultimate authority on the decision for the one story courthouse. He told Irene it was the Department of Justice which she knew was false. She became assertive about it because she does not believe it. She found out later that it is the truth and the Department of Justice did not dictate a one story courthouse. That hurts people's credibility when a statement is made that can be proved not to be true. Initially, the Commissioners made a decision and that decision created a reaction because of the fact that it was impacting a bunch of the community members. There was not an open dialog about that plan. It was dumped on people. The Commissioners received a reaction. She believes that the people that are wanting to retain the Shelter from the Storm have come to that meeting point. They have got to the point where they have come up with plans and said that it can be both ways. She encouraged the Commissioners to come to the middle because absent the deciding between the pros and cons there is a middle ground that they can all come to which saves the Shelter and gives the Judges a one story courthouse. She understands that this courthouse will be the only one story courthouse within the State. She has questions about that but she feels that coming to the middle would diffuse a lot of hostility in this community if the Commissioners would say there is another way and both things can be housed on that block.

Someone from the audience stated that it was rude that the Commissioners were not making eye contact with the people who are coming all the way to the Commission meeting and talk to them but are reading documents. He stated that it is not respectful to the public. Commissioner McClure told the man that he would have a chance to make his comments after the person up to comment was completed.

Kelly Skovlin, 1404 Walnut Street, La Grande, gave each Commissioner a stack of letters from concerned citizens. She explained that the letter is asking the Commissioners to consider finding a solution that will work for all of the people involved. She stated that she is wondering what the citizens of the community are paying the

Commissioners to do. When she had been a recent client of the Shelter from the Storm she was invited to attend a forum that took place in Pendleton regarding community building. She believes that Commissioner McClure and Commissioner MacLeod was at the meeting. The forum was to build community and bring all of the stakeholders together in order to deal with a controversial issue. Their message stayed with her over the years. The stakeholders in this very controversial issue have yet to be brought to the table. The Commissioners have the power to do this. Commissioner Rosholt was asked at the candidate forum what the job description was of a Commissioner he answered that it was about going to meetings. If the Commissioners attend meetings and don't learn than what are the citizens paying them to do? Who do the Commissioners answer to if it is not the citizens who are the hands that feed the Commissioners? She read her letter to the editor that was published in the Observer on Monday, December 8, 2014. She urged the Commissioners to use their powers to come up with a solution that will work with everyone involved.

Mary McCracken, 63036 Buchanan Lane, La Grande, explained that she is not going to read the statement she had prepared. Other have so much more patience and optimism about the outcome of this situation. At the perimeter gathering on Sunday afternoon was an informative and uplifting demonstration of how easily the courthouse can sit on the space available. It was another opportunity for the Commissioners to meet the people who are so dedicated to working together for common good. All of the people were disappointed in the Commissioner's absence. She was disappointed too although she had less high hopes for them to show. A question she has been asked to ask the Commissioners is if the Commissioners go forward with the razing of the Shelter building would they be willing to release the building to be moved to another location. Mary explained that is a question that she would like answered. Commissioner McClure explained that it is not a decision that they would not be willing to answer right now. Mary stated that she understands the Commissioners are paid to listen. Commissioner Davidson explained that in regards to the perimeter gathering he was traveling to Salem to be at a meeting there Monday morning to representing the County's interests. Mary asked him if it was the Commissioner's interests or the citizen's interests. Commissioner Davidson stated it was the community's interests. Mary stated that if Commissioner Davidson was representing the community's interest she suggests that they should have been at the perimeter gathering. There have been other opportunities for the Commissioners to come to their events. There have been ideas exchanged with the hopes that there could be a transparent and cooperative effort to end in a win-win situation. There have been ample opportunities for the Commissioners to become involved if not at a public demonstration at least to become involved with the people that have been involved in this issue. She stated that it seems that the Commissioners have been avoiding the issue. Commissioner Davidson explained that he has been meeting with the representatives from the Board of Directors trying to find a way to help. Mary stated that they are not the only ones that are involved. There is a community that is involved as well and there is a large organization that does not have the restraints on them that official Shelter staff might have. The Save our Shelter group is a group of citizens with ideas who would like to have had the time to discuss this with the County

Commissioners. They have been ignored. Commissioner Davidson stated the he and Hanley Jenkins met with Maxine Hines after hours last night to go over her ideas about a different location on that block. The County has engaged. He explained that he wants Mary to understand that there is dialog going on and it may not be with Mary but it is happening. There are conversations going on and everyone has a lot of responsibilities. The evening of the town hall meeting he was in John Day at a meeting. Mary stated that it is his priorities. Mary stated that this is one of the biggest issues that has come up this year and the Commissioners have been absent. Only when backed into a corner and then all they will do is listen and will not cooperate or work in a cooperative fashion with anyone. She stated that the Commissioner likes to have the last word and likes to look as though he is being a person that in reality she finds he is not being.

Lois Barry, 60688 Morgan Lake Road, La Grande, stated that this has been an endless controversy based on a bad decision to place the courthouse on the footprint of the Shelter from the Storm Advocacy Center. Their perception is that the reaction of the Commission has been disgraceful. She is embarrassed to be partly responsible for that. She has lived here for about 50 years and until now she has paid no attention to the County government. She became involved as a tax payer when she heard that the County was planning on demolishing a \$500,000 building apparently without listening to alternative sites for the courthouse. Then she learned that the specific building provided invaluable services to the community. She broke a 25 year vow to never attend another committee meeting because it was essential to find out what was going on. She learned that from every publication coming out from the Commission was not to seek out or to listen to persuasive information about other choices. The Commissioners have painted themselves into a corner and they are going to stay there. She told Commissioner Davidson it is late to be meeting with Maxine Hines last night. This has been going on since March. She sent the Commissioners copies of the alternative sites on October 30th. The Commissioners had one chance to make themselves proud in the community for getting \$2 million for a new courthouse which everyone agrees the County needs a new courthouse and the Commissioners have turned it into a debacle. It has been terrible. There is community turmoil instead of community pride. The Commissioners can be determined to do the right thing or they can be equally determined not to change a bad decision. In defense of the Commissioner's decision they have just made stuff up. They have fantasy thinking of \$1 million elevator maintenance and the three elevator requirement and the need for the only one story courthouse in Oregon. They can't demolish the Joseph Annex because it is a historic building. Then Commissioner McClure stated on the meeting on September 24th that the Commissioners get paid to listen, not to respond. She read a news article from the Oregonian about a Commission meeting in Oregon City. It states there are more than a dozen opponents of the Portland-Milwaukee light rail project testified at this mornings tense and contentious Commission meeting and called for a public vote on the projects construction and funding. Many had concerns about the project's effect on businesses, safety and other issues. "For goodness sake listen to us" Canby resident Mack Woods said. Milwaukee resident Jim Sanders called the project an absolute debacle. After listening to the opponents this morning Commissioner Paul Savas made a motion to put the issue on

the ballot. "I am compelled to make a motion to honor the request made here today to put Milwaukee light rail to a vote of the people" Savas said. Clackamas County Chairwoman Charlotte Lehave agreed to hold a study session to study whether to put the controversial Portland-Milwaukee light rail project to a countywide vote. Lois explained that she discovered that was another thing that the Commissioners just made up that they get paid to listen and not to respond. Other Commissions listen to concerned citizens and they do respond. She is among other urging the Commissioners to adopt one of the alternative plans that Maxine Hines and others have spent hundreds of hours putting together which is many more hours than the Commissioners have spent thinking about this new courthouse. She stated that there is an old Army saying, "We can't make you do it, but we can make you wish you had." Her hope is that at the dedication of the new courthouse there will be an entirely new Commission. To that end she has started a group called Citizens Need Responsible Government. Early in January she will be filing as the chief petitioner to recall Commissioner Davidson and by mid-April she is hoping they will have two new Commissioners and perhaps Commissioner McClure will decide without his "good 'ol boy" companions to retire.

Valerie Morrow, 403 L Avenue, La Grande, read a statement that is attached to these minutes.

Commissioner Davidson asked Hanley to go over the effect that placing the courthouse on the South side of the law enforcement block and having to remove the parking lot would have on the number of parking spaces on the campus and the effect it has on meeting the City's standards.

Hanley Jenkins, Planning Director, explained that he met with Maxine Hines the night before and she provided four alternatives that would site the courthouse South of the Shelter from the Storm which is now a parking lot. That parking lot and the space that she is proposing the courthouse be located currently includes 25 parking spaces. The biggest challenge the County has in siting the Circuit Court building is meeting the City's parking standard. It is not an option for the County. They have discussed with the City an opportunity to vary from the City's parking standard. The City staff believes firmly that the County cannot meet a variance request and it is the County's obligation to meet the parking standards. The County submitted a site plan. That site plan has been reviewed and has conditional approval from the City staff. In that approval there is a need identified for the entire campus including the Circuit Court building of 185 parking spaces. The County is obligated because they are adding a new building and it involves the entire campus to demonstrate that all of the uses can meet the City's parking standard and not just the Circuit Court building. If the Circuit Court building is located in one of those four options that Maxine Hines proposed there will be 25 spaces lost. In addition, there are six spaces lost because they have to accommodate for a drop off area. The drop off area has a dimension of 9X30 which is a minimum requirement. In order to meet that the County would have to identify that area in what is now the conditionally approved vacated portion of K Street. That would eliminate at least three parking spaces. The County also has to provide at least 2 handicap parking spaces but

there has to also be an off loading area between the two spaces so ultimately there will be a loss of six spaces off of K Street or 31 spaces for siting the building in that location. The County is at maximum capacity and there is no new opportunity to create additional parking and identify 31 spaces. He has spent hours with Mike, City Planner, being able to identify the 185 spaces to meet that need. That need also includes that all of the fleet vehicles would have to be located off campus. They are negotiating with the University to be able to locate them on their campus. There are 13 fleet vehicles that they will have to accommodate. One of the suggestions has been to remove the Joseph Annex that the Commissioners are in. There are 16 employees in that building. He has calculated how many parking spaces could be accommodated if that building were removed. There is a maximum of 27 spaces that could be accommodated which would be new spaces if the building was removed. The City of La Grande has been insistent that the County meet every single space that is required. They would be short 4 spaces there. The bigger issue is where the 16 employees will go that are in the Annex building right now. Presumably they would backfill the existing Circuit Court space. The City has insisted that if that space is backfilled that there be 65 new spaces created on campus for parking if anyone occupies that space even a desk. Now they deficiency is not 31 but 95 or more. He cannot find anymore parking spaces on campus let alone 95. The City does not believe the County can get a variance to the parking regulations. He believes that 95 spaces is beyond the straight face test for any kind of variance application. This has been an incredible challenge for the County. He feels that it is unfortunate that the County has to meet the 185 parking need that the City's Ordinance identifies by locating the building where the Shelter is located. That is the only site and the only way the County has been able to fit this building on the County campus and meet the City's site plan requirements.

Commissioner Davidson asked if Hanley agrees with Mike's calculation of the parking need generated by the space in the Joseph building that will be vacated or has Hanley calculated it using the assumption that the uses in the Annex building will be moved into that space. Hanley explained that the space that would be vacated and backfilled includes two courtrooms and each of those courtrooms has a capacity rating. The City's formula requires that the County provide one space for every three persons that could be located in the courtrooms. The City's standard also requires that the County have a number of spaces based on the number of employees and the square footage. When that is all added together their requirement is that the County provide spaces for the maximum capability to fill that space. Not the occupants. He tried that with the City when the County was reviewing the site plan decision. The County tried to negotiate backfilling that space with less people than its maximum capacity and making a commitment that there would be no more people than those that would occupy that space and City stated that the County had to meet the standard.

Commissioner Davidson asked Hanley if he has the response from the City in an email. Hanley stated that he does. He received it from Mike Boquist on Tuesday, November 25th. In it Mike states that the County will need to provide alternatives that address the 65 plus parking spaces that the existing courthouse occupancy generates. Hanley

stated that the City has been very clear that any occupancy of that space whether it is the 16 people in the Annex, the 6 people that are in the Shelter or storage the County will have to meet the entire standard for that space and not just the occupants that would be in that space.

Judge West, Presiding Judge of the 10th Judicial District, stated that he has been a Judge for 12 years and before that he was a District Attorney for 18 years. He has been traveling around the State quite a bit. He wants to talk about seven courthouses in Eastern Oregon. The seven courthouses are two-story courthouses. The courthouses in Vail, Burns, Canyon City, Hermiston, Pendleton, Wallowa County and Baker City are all two story courthouses but the court operations are all on one floor. He has sat in all of those courtrooms. What is most efficient in those situations is to have everything on one floor. He recently sat on a murder case in Pendleton. The defendant was in the courtroom with security detail. Because of the design of that courthouse they had to use the same hallway and stairwell as the defendant. He raised the insanity defense so there were a lot of security concerns. That is a good illustration of a courthouse design that is not safe. One story is the most efficient way to build a courthouse when it is a small district where the judges do not specialize. They have to have the staff work as a team and it is important that they be in the same work area to work together. A one-story courthouse is also the safest option. The court security officer also feels that is the safest option. They only have a budget for one court security officer so if he has to be on the second floor the entrance on the first floor goes unguarded. If everything is on one floor he can monitor both courtrooms by video and he can be there quickly. He can also monitor the service counter. There are a lot of people that come in the courthouse that are not there for a trial. They have had a lot of security problems compared to other court systems in the State. He feels that part of the issues they have had is because of the current design of the court facility. They also believe it would be more expensive to build a two-story courthouse. He also does not believe that the City of La Grande parking standard can be met if a two-story courthouse is built. He doesn't feel that the proper way to build a courthouse is to jam it in between the current buildings. It will not reflect what he believes a courthouse should reflect which is the integrity and the importance of the function of a courthouse. They don't feel it is appropriate to move the courthouse to the South side of the block for a number of reasons. The South side of the block has less available space than the North side because of the entrance to the police station. If the prisoners are brought in the East side of the building they would be mingling with the public that would be coming into the police station or they would be in close proximity to them which they are trying to avoid. The idea now is that there would be a walkway on the Eastside of the courthouse to bring the prisoners in. Another concern would be the pickup and drop off zone. It would be on K Street if the courthouse was moved to the South side of the lot. They don't think a two-story building is realistic or viable. They continue to believe that siting the courthouse on the North side of the block is the place that it needs to go.

Commissioner Davidson asked Judge West if the Commissioners wanted to consider moving the courthouse to the South side of the law enforcement block what would his

and Judge Dretke's recommendation to the Oregon Judicial Department be in light of the requirement that OJD has approval of the siting and design of the court facility. Judge West stated that he and Judge Dretke would oppose it. He feels that it is not viable for all of the reasons he just stated.

Vacation of Portion Good Road

Hanley Jenkins II, Planning Director, explained that this meeting is to continue the conversation about a road vacation on a segment of Good Road. They have given notice to adjacent land owners. In previous conversations the Commissioner talked about converting this from a Road Vacation request that had been submitted by the Elgin Cemetery Maintenance District to a Legalization request because the dedicated Right of Way is not where the road was built. They need to identify where the Right of Way where the road is actually constructed. In order to proceed with a Legalization application the Right of Way would need to be surveyed by a registered land surveyor where the road is. This has been done on roads around the County. The County Surveyor has given an estimated cost of approximately \$3,000. That would include a description of the existing Right of Way so when it is vacated there is a description that can be recorded. If the County Legalizes and Vacates the existing Right of Way the Statutes require that the land goes back to where it came from. As best as he can tell without a legal description the land for the dedicated portion of the road came from the adjacent land owners equally. Hanley explained that there is an OTEC distribution line that extends through the Right of Way. They think it is on the Western edge of the Right of Way but without the description or the survey they don't know exactly where it is. There needs to be a discussion on whether the land owner that acquires the West 30 feet is willing to give OTEC a 10 foot easement which is what OTEC has asked for. Because utilities are allow to site, without a fee, their powerlines within a County road Right of Way OTEC has the legal right for being in the Right of Way they are in and maintain their facility. They want to be able to continue to maintain that distribution line and have legal access to be able to do that.

Gilbert Witherspoon, 72124 Good Road, stated that Will and Joy Keating called him and they wanted him to let the County know that they will not pay for any survey. The power company has an easement on his property. If this process is going to be this complicated he would like to just leave things like they are.

Jaylene Witherspoon 73762 OR Hwy 82, stated that she is happy to leave it the way it is.

Commissioner Davidson asked if this application was initiated to the Cemetery District and Dennis Cross is their representative who is not in attendance at the meeting today. Hanley explained that is correct. He has been told that if the Right of Way is vacated they would receive 30 feet through the vacation of the existing Right of Way. They were interested in having a conversation with Gilbert and Deanne Witherspoon about acquiring 20 feet of the 30 feet easement they would receive which would allow them an additional 50 feet for cemetery expansion. The road department was not able to find a

legal Right of Way for the existing road surface. That complicated this process. The Cemetery District stated they were willing to pay \$1,000 of the \$3,000 survey. The rest of the burden of the cost would fall on the County. The justification for the County paying for the survey would be that the County would end up with a legal described Right of Way for the existing road. When the Public Works Department maintains the road he is maintaining a road within the Right of Way.

Hanley will continue the conversation with all parties involved.

Avista Lease

J.B. Brock, Emergency Services Manager, brought a Lease between Avista Utilities and Union County to the Commissioners for consideration. He explained that Avista will but using space on the Mt. Emily Communications tower. Avista will maintain their own equipment so no expense will be incurred by Union County. Their maintenance crew will have to go through a background check because the tower also houses the 911 system. JB stated that the 911 communications is priority on the tower. **Commissioner Rosholt moved approval of the Lease as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Vehicle Bid Award

Linda Hill, Assessor/Tax Collector, brought a vehicle bid award to the Commissioners for consideration. She explained that she received two bids that meet the specifications and are within the amount budgeted. Her recommendation would be to purchase a Jeep Cherokee for \$24,449 from Legacy Chrysler Jeep Dodge. This vehicle will replace an aging vehicle within their fleet. **Commissioner Rosholt moved approval of the vehicle bid as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Airport Filter Bid

Doug Wright, Public Works Director, brought an airport filter bid award to the Commissioners for consideration. He explained that he received price quotes from five suppliers and installers. He is recommending awarding the quote to Garsite Aviation which was the lowest quote received for \$7,056.25. **Commissioner Rosholt moved to award the quote to Garsite Aviation as recommended. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Truck/Tractor Bid Award

Doug Wright brought a Truck/Tractor bid to the Commissioners for consideration. He explained that his fleet manager come across a used 1999 International 9400 Eagle Truck for \$19,500. They assessed that it would work for the Public Works Department. They did comparable pricing to other models that were both older and newer. This vehicle was the best price. **Commissioner Davidson moved approval of the purchase of the 1999 International 9400 Eagle Truck as presented. Commissioner Rosholt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

US 30 Traffic Signal Project Agreement

Doug Wright brought an agreement between Union County and ODOT on the US 30 Traffic Signal Project. He explained that this agreement is for \$25,000 to facilitate the remainder of the project. The funds will come from ODOT in a lump sum payment to upsize the culverts that cross Gekeler, Bond and into the Gekeler Slough. There is also an access point to the McCall property that needs to be upsized as well. He explained that they would also raise the grade a bit to accommodate the oversized culverts and make that function better to reduce the risk of water going onto the Bowman property. He put an estimate together and submitted it to ODOT. ODOT has approved it and this is the Agreement to receive those funds. **Commissioner Davidson moved approval of the Agreement between ODOT and Union County as presented. Commissioner Rosholt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Executive Session

An executive session was held under ORS 192.660(h) pending litigation and ORS 192.660(e) real property transactions.

Consent Agenda

The November 6, 13, 20 and 26 claims journals; and the November 5, 12 and 19 Public Works claims journals; and September 16 and 24, October 1 and November 12 and 18 Board of Commissioners minutes were approved as presented on the consent agenda.

Circuit Court Building Update

Shelley Burgess, Administrative Officer, explained that the design of the new Circuit Court building was approved by the Oregon Judicial Department. It was recommended by Judge Dretke and Judge West for approval. She explained that the County received the funds from the State for \$2 million. The County and Circuit Court representatives met with the construction contractor and architect to go over the designs. They are working towards final construction designs. The process is moving along in accordance to the scheduled that is needed to meet the deadlines.

Appointment to the Union County Wolf Depredation Compensation Committee

Court Order 2014-51, In the Matter of Reappointment to the Wolf Depredation Compensation Committee, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would reappoint Mark Davidson to the Wolf Depredation Compensation Committee.

Commissioner Rosholt moved approval of Court Order 2014-51 as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Reappointment to the Vector Control District Board of Directors

Court Order 2014-50, In the Matter of Re-Appointing a Member to the Union County Vector Control District Board of Directors, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would reappoint Shirley Roberts to the Vector Control District Board of Directors.

Commissioner Davidson moved approval of Court Order 2014-50 as presented. Commissioner Rosholt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Appointment to the Union County Fair Association Board of Directors

Court Order 2014-49, In the Matter of Appointment to the Union County Fair Association Board of Directors, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would appoint Margaret Spence to the Fair Association Board of Directors. **Commissioner Davidson moved approval of Court Order 2014-49 as presented. Commissioner Rosholt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Appointment to the 4-H and Extension District Advisory Committee

Court Order 2014-54, In the Matter of Appointment to the 4-H & Extension District Advisory Committee, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would appoint Sheldon Heffernan to serve on the 4-H and Extension District Advisory Committee as the Foraging and North Powder area representative. **Commissioner Rosholt moved approval of Court Order 2014-54 as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Appointment to the Transient Tax Discretionary Fund Advisory Committee

Court Order 2014-53, In the Matter of Appointment to the Transient Tax Discretionary Fund Advisory Committee, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would reappoint Dave Campbell and Tracy Christopher to the Transient Tax Discretionary Fund Advisory Committee. **Commissioner Rosholt moved approval of Court Order 2014-53 as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Appointment to the Northeast Oregon Economic Development District Board of Directors

Court Order 2014-55, In the Matter of Appointment to the Northeast Oregon Economic Development District Budget Committee, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would reappoint Donna Heffernan and Charlie Mitchell to the Northeast Oregon Economic Development District Budget Committee. **Commissioner Rosholt moved approval of Court Order 2014-55 as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Reappointment to the Union County Planning Commission

Court Order 2014-56, In the Matter of Appointment to the Union County Planning Commission, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would reappoint Pete Caldwell, Randy McKinnis, Arthur Rhodes, and Charles Sarrett to the Union County Planning Commission. **Commissioner Davidson moved approval of Court Order 2014-56 as presented. Commissioner Rosholt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.**

Appointment to Union County Budget Committee

Court Order 2014-57, In the Matter of Appointment to the Union County Budget Committee, was presented for consideration. This Court Order would appoint Gene Hardy to the Union County Budget Committee. **Commissioner Rosholt moved**

approval of Court Order 2014-57 as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Discretionary Grant Application Award Recommendations

Shelley Burgess, Administrative Officer, brought the Discretionary Grant Application recommendations to the Commissioners for consideration. She explained that the Discretionary Grant Committee met and reviewed two applications. The applications were received from Eagles Hot Lake RV Park/Dry Creek Inc. and Liberty Theatre Foundation, Inc. The Committee recommended funding the Eagles Hot Lake RV Park project for \$200 and the Liberty Theatre Foundation for \$2,000. **Commissioner Rosholt moved approval of the Discretionary Fund Recommendations as presented. Commissioner Davidson seconded.** Commissioner Davidson explained that grant to Eagle Hot Lake RV Park/Dry Creek Inc. would pay for the distribution of a brochure to promote their park to hopefully increase their occupancy rate. The grant for Liberty Theatre would purchase a canopy for the outside of the building. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:08 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ashley Wilhelm
Sr. Dept. Specialist II