

**Upper Grande Ronde River Watershed Partnership
Place-Based Integrated Water Resources Planning
Comment and Response Table (October 25, 2016)**

Date	Organization	Comment	Resolution
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	Water Watch	Redlines to Governance Agreement.	Clarified meeting participation, agree with suggestion to delete last sentence. Clarified that Step 4 solutions may include items listed below, but are not limited to these examples.
10/4/2016 (1st stakeholder meeting)	City of Union	Redlines to Governance Agreement. Revision to his phone number on the contact list. Suggestion to create a film: I wanted to throw out the idea of a short 10 to 15 minute film that could be used for public education and developing support. College might like a project. Would cost something I am sure. Picture the film starting with a green crop being irrigated with state of the art sprinklers and computer operation that showcases the valley and other areas, salmon jumping falls in a stream, a fisherman, kids swimming in a pool...	Did not add overview and purpose statement on page 1 because introduction covers the overview and purpose statement is on page 2. Added "Partners" in Background section. Clarified Goal 2. Did not add "equitable" use of water because uses are not anticipated to be equal. Clarified improvements matrix as suggested. Will consider educational film idea.
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	ODA	Redlines to Governance Agreement, Communication and Outreach Plan, and corrected her phone number in contact list.	Added all additional audiences for presentation in Communication and Outreach Plan, except school because it is not relevant to the project. Added discussion of non-stationarity in Steps 2 and 3 discussions. Did not add non-stationarity discussion in Background or Guiding Principles. Added Tom Demianew to the contact list.
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	DEQ	Redlines to Governance Agreement and Work Plan.	Did not delete description sentence in Background section, needed for context. Did not make substantive and broad representation more specific, because rather than quorums, the group is relying on working toward consensus together. We will check in again to make sure Stakeholder are comfortable with this language.
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	ODFW	Redlines to the Governance Agreement, Communication and Outreach Plan, and contact list.	Added all contacts except Agri-Star Farms, Minam Raft Rentals, and Winding River Rafting because they are outside of the study area. Added website links. Added Step 4 data gaps reference. Timeframe includes agency review (the deadline was provided to us by OWRD). The number of steps was also provided to us by OWRD. Added most changes to Communication and Outreach Plan, including clarifying public communication/involvement.
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	USWCD	No comments on the documents, she will discuss signature with the Board on October 12, 2016.	N/A
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	GRMW	No comments on the documents, he will discuss signature with the Board. He offered that GRMW would be willing to host some of the more general materials for public consumption on our own website, not instead of, but in addition to, Union County.	N/A
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	ODF	After attending the meeting the other day I have decided that we're probably not a key player in the process/effort under way. As such, we won't be participating in an official capacity (stakeholder). Feel free to contact me if there are any questions that come up along the way that have to do with private forest land and we'll be happy to jump back in and help out however needed.	N/A

**Upper Grande Ronde River Watershed Partnership
Place-Based Integrated Water Resources Planning
Comment and Response Table (October 25, 2016)**

10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	Union County Cattleman's Association	<p>I think it must be an oversight that ODA is not on the Stakeholder list and contact list. I suggest they be added.</p> <p>The following is on the draft Governance Agreement.</p> <p>We should consider changing at least internally the title of the process. Take Upper out and call it the Grande Ronde Valley plan - it's very misleading.</p> <p>It says there is supposed to be balance representation and looking at the contact list it sure seems unbalanced toward all others who use or want to use more water for other things beside agriculture water right usage. The first Stakeholder Meeting again was heavy on paid representatives of government, tribes, and special interest groups, and light on affected agriculture.</p> <p>The overall goal #2 states - to strike a balanced approach to managing water demand and supply... I'd suggest rewrite to say - to evaluate strategies that could enhance ecological needs without harming current uses.</p> <p>The Org structure says there are three entities on the Steering Committee and the contact list shows five.</p> <p>I think it should be made clear somewhere that each entity only has one vote, not multiple based on how many attend that night from them. I will say again that it appears the voting parties on the stakeholder list are heavily weighted toward non-agriculture uses and the water laws clearly allocate the ager to ag uses, therefore, there should be more representation on the voting panel to truly balance an agreed to decision by the whole group.</p> <p>My contact info is wrong, the phone number should be 541-805-1617 and email - elkaholic@eoni.com.</p>	<p>Added ODA.</p> <p>Did not change the title of governance agreement, because Grande Ronde Valley does not reflect the entire boundary of the watershed. Map will be provided at Stakeholder meeting 2 for clarity.</p> <p>Did not change Goal 2 because goal is for balance.</p> <p>Stakeholder Committee is working to add more agricultural interests. Requested Rodger send additional contacts. Clarified Org structure.</p> <p>Added clarity to decision making section; each organization gets one vote.</p> <p>Revised contact information.</p>
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	CTUIR	<p>Redline edits to the Governance Agreement and Brochure, and a formal comment letter that explains the rationale for our suggested revisions.</p> <p>With our comments, we simply wanted to emphasize that, while ODFW can represent many of the Tribes' ecological interests in the Upper Grande Ronde fisheries, they of course would not be able (or expected) to represent the cultural aspects of the Tribes' interests in the fisheries. If, at some point in the planning process, it appears that it would be helpful to have this perspective more actively represented, we'd be happy to take on the expanded duties and obligations of a Steering Committee member (if it's at the pleasure of the broader Stakeholder Committee, of course). If this appears to be the case, then we'll indeed submit a formal request for membership to the Steering Committee. However, In the interim we just wanted to be forthright with the group as to our thinking on all of this.</p>	<p>Accepted all edits to brochure.</p> <p>Changed purpose and need section include tribal interests.</p> <p>Added sustainable management to mission statement.</p> <p>Clarified how a group could be added to the Steering Committee, but did not add example of adding the Tribe to Steering ommittee in that section. However, it is agreed that this is a good example of what could happen.</p>
10/4/2016 (1st Stakeholder Meeting)	OWRD	<p>Redlines to Governance Agreement (October 21, 2016). Most incorporated.</p>	<p>Left "Local" reference under background section. Necessary for this process, however we acknowledge that help from others will be needed.</p> <p>Did not change private land to private forestland, because we are not just talking about private forestland, farmland is also included.</p> <p>Clarified mission statement.</p> <p>Added reference to IWRS Guiding Principles to Guiding Principles section.</p> <p>Clarified organizational structure. Left ODFW on list, OWRD is represented on the Steering Committee as well.</p> <p>Clarified Convener characteristics, and different roles in the groups.</p> <p>Clarified that ad hoc subcommittee would simply make a recommendation to the Stakeholder Committee to resolve disputes.</p> <p>Clarified that Stakeholder Committee makes all non-administrative decisions.</p> <p>All meetings including Steering Committee meetings are public. Clarified through referencing calendar on County's website. Clarified that calling (using remote access) into the meetings will count toward Stakeholder attendance requirements</p> <p>Cross-referenced Communication and Outreach Plan.</p> <p>Deleted awkward phrase in Communications section, as recommended.</p> <p>Clarified in Record Keeping: all documents (working and final will be on the Union County website.</p>

**Upper Grande Ronde River Watershed Partnership
Place-Based Integrated Water Resources Planning
Comment and Response Table (October 25, 2016)**

CTUIR = Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
DEQ = Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
GRMW = Grande Ronde Model Watershed
IWRS = Integrated Water Resources Strategy
ODA = Oregon Department of Agriculture
ODF = Oregon Department of Forestry
ODFW = Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
OWRD = Oregon Water Resources Department
USWCD = Union Soil and Water Conservation District